

Omitting types in C^* algebras

Isaac Goldbring

University of Illinois at Chicago

UCSD Operator Algebras Seminar
October 2015

- 1 C* algebras and logic
- 2 The omitting types theorem
- 3 Failure of Finitary Arveson Extension

C* algebras

- In this talk, H denotes a complex Hilbert space. A linear operator $T : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ is *bounded* if $\|T\| < \infty$, where

$$\|T\| := \sup\{\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1\}.$$

- A *concrete C* algebra* is a *-subalgebra of $B(H)$ that is closed in the operator norm topology.
- An *abstract C* algebra* is a Banach *-algebra A satisfying the C* equality: $\|T^*T\| = \|T\|^2$ for all $T \in A$.
- It is not hard to see that every concrete C* algebra is an abstract C* algebra. Conversely, it can be shown that every abstract C* algebra admits a faithful representation as a norm closed subalgebra of some $B(H)$, so these really are the same notion.

Examples of C* algebras

- $B(H)$ (boring!)
- If X is a compact topological space, then $C(X)$ is a *unital, commutative* C* algebra when equipped with the sup-norm. By Gelfand theory, every unital commutative C* algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$ for some compact topological space X , whence C* algebra theory is sometimes dubbed *noncommutative topology*.
- If Γ is a discrete group and one considers the unitary representation $l : \Gamma \rightarrow U(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ given by $(l(\gamma)(f))(\eta) := f(\gamma^{-1}\eta)$, the *left-regular representation*, then the C* algebra generated by $l(\Gamma)$ inside of $B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ is called the *reduced group C* algebra* of Γ , denoted $C_r^*(\Gamma)$.
- Many, many, many more interesting and exotic examples.

- An *isometry* is a bounded operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ that is norm preserving (but is not necessarily onto); equivalently, $T^*T = I$.
- (Made-up terminology) Let us call a pair of isometries $T_1, T_2 \in B(H)$ a *Cuntz pair* if $H = T_1(H) \oplus T_2(H)$.
- The *Cuntz algebra* \mathcal{O}_2 is the universal C* algebra generated by a Cuntz pair. So \mathcal{O}_2 is generated by a Cuntz pair (T_1, T_2) of isometries and if A is any C* algebra that is generated by a Cuntz pair (S_1, S_2) , then there is a *-homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow A$ sending T_i to S_i for $i = 1, 2$. (It will actually be an embedding.)
- \mathcal{O}_2 is a very interesting C* algebra for many reasons. It has played a crucial role in the classification programme for (simple, separable, nuclear) C* algebras.

Continuous logic-The case of C* algebras

- Rather than define the syntax in general, we will just focus on the case of C* algebras.
- Atomic formulae: $\varphi(\vec{x}) := \|\rho(\vec{x})\|$, $\rho(\vec{x})$ a *polynomial (over \mathbb{C}).
- Quantifier-free formulae: $\varphi(\vec{x}) := f(\varphi_1(\vec{x}), \dots, \varphi_n(\vec{x}))$, each φ_i atomic, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous.
- Quantifiers: If φ is a formula, then so are $\sup_x \varphi$ and $\inf_x \varphi$.
- If A is a C* algebra, $\varphi(\vec{x})$ is a formula, and \vec{a} a tuple from A , then $\varphi(\vec{a})^A$ is a real number.
- For example, $\varphi(x) := \sup_y \|xy - yx\|$ is a formula. If A is a C* algebra and $a \in A$, then $\varphi(a)^A = 0$ if and only if a is in the *center* of A .

Continuous logic-The case of C* algebras

- Rather than define the syntax in general, we will just focus on the case of C* algebras.
- Atomic formulae: $\varphi(\vec{x}) := \|\rho(\vec{x})\|$, $\rho(\vec{x})$ a *polynomial (over \mathbb{C}).
- Quantifier-free formulae: $\varphi(\vec{x}) := f(\varphi_1(\vec{x}), \dots, \varphi_n(\vec{x}))$, each φ_i atomic, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous.
- Quantifiers: If φ is a formula, then so are $\sup_x \varphi$ and $\inf_x \varphi$.
- If A is a C* algebra, $\varphi(\vec{x})$ is a formula, and \vec{a} a tuple from A , then $\varphi(\vec{a})^A$ is a real number.
- For example, $\varphi(x) := \sup_y \|xy - yx\|$ is a formula. If A is a C* algebra and $a \in A$, then $\varphi(a)^A = 0$ if and only if a is in the *center* of A .

Continuous logic-The case of C* algebras

- Rather than define the syntax in general, we will just focus on the case of C* algebras.
- Atomic formulae: $\varphi(\vec{x}) := \|\rho(\vec{x})\|$, $\rho(\vec{x})$ a *polynomial (over \mathbb{C}).
- Quantifier-free formulae: $\varphi(\vec{x}) := f(\varphi_1(\vec{x}), \dots, \varphi_n(\vec{x}))$, each φ_i atomic, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous.
- Quantifiers: If φ is a formula, then so are $\sup_x \varphi$ and $\inf_x \varphi$.
- If A is a C* algebra, $\varphi(\vec{x})$ is a formula, and \vec{a} a tuple from A , then $\varphi(\vec{a})^A$ is a real number.
- For example, $\varphi(x) := \sup_y \|xy - yx\|$ is a formula. If A is a C* algebra and $a \in A$, then $\varphi(a)^A = 0$ if and only if a is in the *center* of A .

- 1 C^* algebras and logic
- 2 The omitting types theorem
- 3 Failure of Finitary Arveson Extension

The Omitting Types Theorem

Theorem

Suppose that \mathcal{K} is a class of separable C^* algebras for which there exists a family of **existential formulae** $(\varphi_{m,n}(\vec{x}_n))$ such that a separable C^* algebra A belongs to \mathcal{K} if and only if, for all m , we have

$$\left(\sup_{\vec{x}_n} \inf_n \varphi_{m,n}(\vec{x}_n) \right)^A = 0.$$

Further suppose that: for each satisfiable condition $p(\vec{x})$, each m , and each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a C^* algebra A and a tuple \vec{a} from A such that $p(\vec{a})$ holds and $(\inf_n \varphi_{m,n}(\vec{a}))^A < \epsilon$. (*) Then there is a separable **existentially closed** C^* algebra A that belongs to \mathcal{K} .

Note the existence of such an A implies (*).

The case of nuclearity

- When \mathcal{K} is the class of nuclear C^* algebras, we call $(*)$ the existence of *good nuclear witnesses*: for every satisfiable condition $p(\vec{x})$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a C^* algebra A and a tuple \vec{a} from A that satisfies $p(\vec{x})$ and for which $\Delta_{\text{nuc}}(\vec{a}) < \epsilon$.

Theorem (G.-Sinclair)

There is an existentially closed nuclear C^ algebra if and only if **Kirchberg's embedding problem** (KEP) has a positive solution, namely every C^* algebra embeds into an ultrapower of \mathcal{O}_2 .*

Corollary

KEP is equivalent to the existence of good nuclear witnesses.

Continua

Definition

A *continuum* is a connected, compact space.

- Bankston studied the model-theory of continua in an unusual way that was supposed to be “dual” to ordinary model theory.
- It is more natural to study the class $C(X)$ for X a continuum as an axiomatizable class of C^* algebras as they are simply the projectionless abelian C^* algebras.
- From now on, we always work relative to this class.

The pseudo-arc

Definition

Let X be a continuum. We say that X is:

- 1 *indecomposable* if X cannot be written as the union of two proper subcontinua;
- 2 *hereditarily indecomposable* if every proper subcontinuum of X is indecomposable;
- 3 *chainable* if, for any finite open cover U_1, \dots, U_n of X , there is a refinement V_1, \dots, V_m with the property that $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ if and only if $|i - j| > 1$.

Definition

The *pseudo-arc* \mathbb{P} is the unique **chainable, hereditary indecomposable**, metrizable continuum.

Bankston's Question

Theorem

If X is a continuum for which $C(X)$ is existentially closed, then X is hereditarily indecomposable.

Question

Is $C(\mathbb{P})$ existentially closed?

Theorem (Eagle-G.-Vignati)

$C(\mathbb{P})$ is existentially closed.

- 1 C^* algebras and logic
- 2 The omitting types theorem
- 3 Failure of Finitary Arveson Extension**

Arveson's Extension Theorem

Theorem

Let A be a C^* algebra, E an operator system contained in A , and $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ a u.c.p. map. Then there is a u.c.p. map $\psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ extending ϕ .

Question

Is there a *finitary version* of Arveson Extension? More precisely: Is it true that given an operator system $E \subseteq M_n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any unital map $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\|\phi\|_l < 1 + \delta$, there is a unital map $\psi : M_n \rightarrow X$ with $\|\psi\|_k < 1 + \epsilon$ and $\|\psi|_E - \phi\| < \epsilon$?

F AE is false

Let FAE denote the statement that the finitary version of Arveson Extension mentioned above is true.

Theorem (G.-Sinclair; Ozawa)

F AE is false.

Using work of Choi-Effros, it turns out that FAE is equivalent to $\mathcal{B}(H)^\omega$ having WEP. So:

Corollary

$\mathcal{B}(H)^\omega$ does not have WEP.

Sketch of the proof

- We first show that if FAE held, then the class of 1-exact operator systems is omitting types.
- We then consider two spaces: \mathfrak{M}_n is the space of “codes” for n -dimensional operator systems, equipped with the logic topology; and \mathcal{OS}_n , the space of (isomorphism classes of) n -dimensional operator systems, equipped with its weak topology. Both are Polish spaces.
- There is a “forgetful” map $F : \mathfrak{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{OS}_n$.
- Let \mathcal{E}_n denote the 1-exact elements of \mathcal{OS}_n . We show that the class of 1-exact operator systems being omitting types implies that $F^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_n)$ is G_δ , so Polish.
- By the Open Mapping Theorem for Polish spaces, we get that \mathcal{E}_n is weakly Polish. But this contradicts fundamental work of Junge and Pisier.

A model-theoretic application

Definition

$\mathbb{G}\mathbb{S}$ is the unique operator system with the property that, given any finite-dimensional 1-exact operator spaces $E \subseteq F$, $\epsilon > 0$, and unital complete isometry $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\mathbb{S}$, there is an injective linear map $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\mathbb{S}$ extending ϕ with $\|\psi\|_{\text{cb}} \cdot \|\psi^{-1}\|_{\text{cb}} \leq 1 + \epsilon$.

Theorem (G. and Lupini)

$\mathbb{G}\mathbb{S}$ does not have quantifier-elimination. Consequently, the class of existentially closed operator systems is not axiomatizable.

The analog of the last statement for tracial vNas is due to myself, Hart, and Sinclair and for C^* algebras is due to Eagle, Farah, Kirchberg, and Vignati. The statement is unknown for operator spaces.

References

- C. Eagle, I. Farah, E. Kirchberg, A. Vignati, *Quantifier elimination in C^* algebras*, preprint.
- I. Goldbring and T. Sinclair, *On Kirchberg's Embedding Problem*, to appear in the Journal of Functional Analysis. arXiv 1404.1861