
UNRAMIFIED BRAUER GROUPS OF FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS OF LIE TYPE A_ℓ

by

Fedor Bogomolov, Jorge Maciel & Tihomir Petrov

Abstract. — We study the subgroup $B_0(G)$ of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of all elements which have trivial restrictions to every Abelian subgroup of G . The group $B_0(G)$ serves as the simplest nontrivial obstruction to stable rationality of algebraic varieties V/G where V is a faithful complex linear representation of the group G . We prove that $B_0(G)$ is trivial for finite simple groups of Lie type A_ℓ .

Contents

0. Introduction	1
2. The group $B_0(G)$	3
3. Simple groups and $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$	9
4. The group $\mathrm{SL}(n, F)$ as a central extension	11
5. Special simple groups of Lie type A_ℓ	13
References	16

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — Primary 14E08; Secondary 14L24, 14L30, 20D06.

Key words and phrases. — Group cohomology, Simple groups of Lie type, Unramified Brauer group.

0. Introduction

In this article we answer a group-theoretical question related to the problem of stable rationality of quotient spaces V/G where G is a finite (algebraic) group and V is a faithful complex linear representation of G . In algebraic terms, rationality of V/G means that the field of invariants $\mathbb{C}(V)^G$ is a pure transcendental extension of the constant field \mathbb{C} , and stable rationality means that there exist a finite number of independent variables y_1, \dots, y_k such that $\mathbb{C}(V)^G(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ becomes a pure transcendental extension of \mathbb{C} . Simple arguments (based on the geometric version of Hilbert-90 theorem) show that stable rationality of V/G does not depend on an individual faithful representation V of G but only on the group G itself. Explicit computations of invariants show that the varieties V/G are rational in some non-trivial cases like, for example, the standard representation of the symmetric group S_n .

However, this is not true in general. The first examples of nonrational and even nonstably rational varieties V/G were obtained by D. Saltman [S84]. These solutions of the so-called Noether problem were obtained by showing that some birational invariant, which we denote by $B_0(G)$, is nontrivial for some series of groups G . For any finite group G , $B_0(G)$ is the subgroup of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of all elements having trivial restriction on every Abelian subgroup of G . It was shown in [Bo88, Bo90] that $B_0(G)$ coincides with geometric birational invariant of a smooth projective model \widetilde{V}/G for V/G , the so-called *unramified Brauer group*, introduced earlier by Artin and Mumford [AM]. Namely,

$$B_0(G) = \mathbf{Br}_{\text{nr}}(V/G) = H^3(\widetilde{V}/G, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}}.$$

This fact reduces the computation of the Artin-Mumford invariant V/G to a purely group-theoretical question.

The group $B_0(G)$ is, in fact, the first of the series of birational invariants $H_{\text{nr}}^i(G)$ of the varieties V/G constructed via group cohomology (see [CO] for general definitions and properties, and also [Bo88, Bo93, S95]). In this notation, $B_0(G) = H_{\text{nr}}^2(G)$.

However, some results and conjectures in algebraic geometry indicate that for finite simple groups this kind of birational invariants must vanish. Our leading hypotheses are the following:

Hypothesis 0.1. — For any finite simple group G the quotient V/G is stably rational.

There is no much evidence to support this statement and, at the moment, there are no methods to approach this problem except for the group $A_5 = \mathrm{PGL}(2, F_4)$ where it holds. It follows from the fact that A_5 has a three-dimensional faithful linear representation V and the corresponding quotient has a natural structure of a principal \mathbb{C}^* -fibration over the unirational surface $\mathbb{P}^2(V)/A_5$ which, in fact, is rational by classification theory of algebraic surfaces (for example, see [VP], [Vo]).

Hypothesis 0.2. — For any finite simple group G the nonramified cohomology groups $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^i(G) = 0$.

In this article we test this general hypothesis, formulated in [Bo93], for the first nontrivial invariant $B_0(G) = H_{\mathrm{nr}}^2(G)$ in the case of finite simple groups G of Lie type A_ℓ . Every group of Lie type A_ℓ is isomorphic to the projective special linear group $\mathrm{PSL}(n, F_q)$ over a finite field F_q , and the corresponding second cohomology groups can be found in [Go]. The following theorem contains our main result

Theorem 1 (Main). — For every finite field F_q of order q and every integer $n \geq 2$,

$$B_0(\mathrm{PSL}(n, F_q)) = 0.$$

Remark 1.1. — In his seminal paper [Q] D. Quillen obtained a general description of the cohomology of linear groups $\mathrm{GL}(n, F_q)$ which supports the Hypotheses 0.2 for higher cohomology groups of $\mathrm{PSL}(n, F_q)$.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Arnaud Beauville for pointing out an error in the proof of Lemma 4.1, part (2), in the initial version, and Yuri Tschinkel for useful comments. We also want to thank the referee for his thoughtful remarks.

The first and the third authors were partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0100837. The second author was supported by the Instituto Politecnico Nacional - Mexico.

2. The group $B_0(G)$

In this section we briefly recall some basic properties of $B_0(G)$. Let G be a group and $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ be the set of equivalence classes of central extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{j} G \longrightarrow 1$$

of G by \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all Abelian subgroups of G .

Definition 2.1. — *The subgroup $B_0(G)$ of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is defined by*

$$(2.1) \quad B_0(G) := \{\gamma \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma|_H = 0 \text{ for all } H \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. — *Let G be a group and*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{j} G \longrightarrow 1$$

be an extension of G by \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . Let A be an Abelian subgroup of G . For the restriction

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{A} \xrightarrow{j|_{\tilde{A}}} A \longrightarrow 1$$

to be trivial, it is necessary and sufficient that \tilde{A} be an Abelian group.

Proof. — This lemma is equivalent to saying that an element $\gamma' \in H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial if and only if the corresponding extension \tilde{A} of A is an Abelian group. It is clear that the trivial extension is an Abelian group since A is a direct product of cyclic groups and \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is a divisible group. To prove the triviality of the extension \tilde{A} it is sufficient to find a section $s : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$. If $x \in A$ has order n , then there is an element $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{A}$, with $j(\tilde{x}) = x$, of order n . To find such an element first we take any y with $j(y) = x$. Then $y^n \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. We have the following possibilities

- If $y^n = 0$, then we set $\tilde{x} := y$;
- If $y^n \neq 0$, then $y^n = a^n$, where $a \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, since the group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is infinitely divisible. Now we can take $\tilde{x} := ya^{-1}$.

Hence for any cyclic subgroup in A we can find a section s . Since A is a direct sum of cyclic groups, the sum of these sections provides a section for A . Therefore, \tilde{A} is a semi-direct product of A and \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} , but since it is also a central extension, it is a direct product. This implies that \tilde{A} is a trivial central extension of A if and only if \tilde{A} is an Abelian group. Therefore, the fact that the preimage $\tilde{A} = j^{-1}(A)$ is an Abelian group is equivalent to the triviality of the restriction of $\gamma \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ on A . \square

Corollary 2.3. — *Let G be a group and \mathcal{X} be the set of subgroups of G generated by two elements whose commutator is the identity element of G . Then*

$$B_0(G) = \{\gamma \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma|_H = 0 \text{ for all } H \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

This fact appeared previously in [Bo88] and [S90].

The description above also yields a simple criterion for a given element γ of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ not to lie in $B_0(G)$. Let \tilde{G} be a central \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} -extension of G defined by $\gamma \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Denote by $K_\gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ the subgroup in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} which lies in the kernel of every character $\tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. The group K_γ is always a finite cyclic group.

Corollary 2.4. — *An element γ of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ does not belong to $B_0(G)$ if and only if some nonidentity element of K_γ can be represented as a commutator of a pair of elements $a, b \in \tilde{G}$.*

Proof. — If $\gamma \in B_0(G)$ then the preimages of any commuting pair of elements in G commute in \tilde{G} as well. Thus if a nonzero element $h \in K_\gamma$ can be represented as $h = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$, then the elements $j(a)$ and $j(b)$ commute, but a and b do not. This implies that γ is not in $B_0(G)$. Notice that any character of \tilde{G} is trivial on $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$, and hence any element of the form $h = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ always belongs to K_γ . \square

Corollary 2.5. — *If the generator of K_γ can be represented as a commutator $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$, then any quotient of \tilde{G} by a cyclic subgroup of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} not containing K_γ represents a central extension of G which is not in $B_0(G)$.*

Lemma 2.6. — *Let G be a finite group and*

$$H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_p H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{(p)}$$

be the primary decomposition of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, where by $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{(p)}$ we denote the p -primary component of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

1. *We have*

$$B_0(G) = \bigoplus_p B_{0,p}(G),$$

where $B_{0,p}(G) := B_0(G) \cap H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{(p)}$.

2. *For every Sylow p -subgroup $\text{Syl}_p(G)$ of G we have an embedding*

$$B_{0,p}(G) \subset B_0(\text{Syl}_p(G)).$$

Proof. — The assertion (1) is a consequence of the fact that a central extension of a finite Abelian group is nilpotent and therefore decomposes into a direct product of p -groups.

The statement in (2) follows from the fact that we have an embedding from $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{(p)}$ into $H^2(\mathrm{Syl}_p(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. \square

Corollary 2.7. — *Let G be a finite group and $\mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If $\mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ is an Abelian group, then*

$$B_{0,p}(G) = 0.$$

Proof. — We have $B_{0,p}(G) \subset B_0(\mathrm{Syl}_p(G))$ but the latter is zero since $\mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ is Abelian. \square

We will also use several results concerning p -groups from [Bo88].

Let G be a central extension of an Abelian group A and C be the center of G . There is a natural map $\bigwedge^2 A \rightarrow C$ where

$$\bigwedge^2 A := \{x \wedge y \mid x, y \in A\}.$$

The group $\bigwedge^2 A$ is the quotient of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ by the subgroup generated by all elements $x \otimes x$. In particular, the wedge product $x \wedge y$ is bilinear, and for any $x \in A$, $x \wedge x = 0$. The image of $x \wedge y \in \bigwedge^2 A$ under this map is equal to $\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{x}^{-1}\tilde{y}^{-1}$ where \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} are any two preimages of x and y , respectively, in G . We denote by S the kernel of the map $\bigwedge^2 A \rightarrow C$. Obviously, this is a subgroup of $\bigwedge^2 A$. Consider the elements of the form $x \wedge y \in S$ and denote by $S_{\Lambda} \subset S$ the subgroup in S generated by these elements. The element $x \wedge y \in S_{\Lambda}$ corresponds to a pair of elements $x, y \in A$ which lifts to a commuting pair of elements in G .

Lemma 2.8. — *Let $f : G \rightarrow A$ be a central extension of an Abelian group A by C . Then*

1. *The group $B_0(G)$ is contained in the image*

$$f^* H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

2. *There is an isomorphism*

$$f^* H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

3. *There is an isomorphism*

$$B_0(G) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(S/S_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. — See [Bo88], Lemma 5.1. \square

There are also several results for the more general case of meta-Abelian groups.

Lemma 2.9. — *Let G be a finite group containing a normal Abelian subgroup A such that the quotient G/A is a cyclic group. Then $B_0(G) = 0$.*

Proof. — See [Bo88], Lemma 4.9. \square

This result serves as a tool to compute $B_0(G)$ for some meta-Abelian groups.

Let G be a finite meta-Abelian group, $A = G/[G, G]$, and $f : G \rightarrow A$ be the canonical projection. Denote by

$$G_c := G/[G, [G, G]].$$

Let S be the kernel of the linear map $\bigwedge^2 A \rightarrow G_c$ and S'_Λ be the subspace in S generated by elements $x \wedge y$, where $x, y \in A$, such that there is a pair $x', y' \in G$ with $f(x') = x$, $f(y') = y$, and $[x', y'] = 1$.

The exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow [G, G] \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 1$$

defines the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q}(G) := H^p(A, H^q([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$$

which computes the group $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, this spectral sequence provides $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ with a filtration

$$f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \subset K \subset H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),$$

so that K is the kernel of the restriction map:

$$(2.2) \quad H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Therefore, the quotient $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})/K$ has a nontrivial restriction on $[G, G]$ and $K/f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ admits an injective map

$$(2.3) \quad r : K/f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(A, \text{Hom}([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})).$$

This filtration is functorial with respect to the group homomorphisms. Namely, if $A' \subset A$ is a subgroup then denote the subgroup

$$i : f^{-1}A' \hookrightarrow G,$$

as G' . Then we have an embedding $G' \hookrightarrow G$, and a natural cohomology map

$$i^* : H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(G', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

This map is a map of filtered groups. Namely, if

$$1 \longrightarrow [G, G] \longrightarrow G' \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \longrightarrow 1$$

is the exact sequence of groups for G' , induced from the corresponding sequence for G , then we obtain a natural homomorphism of spectral sequences

$$E_2^{p,q}(G) \longrightarrow E_2^{p,q}(G'),$$

which is the restriction map

$$H^p(A, H^q([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow H^p(A', H^q([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})).$$

This provides the map between cohomology with an invariant filtration. Namely, if

$$f_1^* H^2(A', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \subset K' \subset H^2(G', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

is the induced filtration on $H^2(G', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, then i^* maps K to K' , and $f^* H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ to $f_1^* H^2(A', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Consider the case of the cyclic subgroup $A' = \mathbb{Z}_m^{(i)} \subset A$ where $i \in I$ runs over all cyclic subgroups of A . Denote by σ_i the natural restriction map

$$\sigma_i : H^1(A, \text{Hom}([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}_m^{(i)}, \text{Hom}([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})),$$

and by σ the sum of such maps over all cyclic subgroups in A . The next result is a weaker version of Theorem 4.2 in [Bo88] which is better adapted to our setting.

Lemma 2.10. — *Consider the map*

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma : H^1(A, \text{Hom}([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \sum_i H^1(\mathbb{Z}_m^{(i)}, \text{Hom}([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_m^{(i)} \subset A$ runs through all cyclic subgroups of A . If σ is an embedding, then the group $B_0(G) \subset f^* H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $B_0(G) \cong \text{Hom}(S/S_\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. — The group $B_0(G)$ is contained in K since, by definition, every element of $B_0(G)$ restricts trivially on the Abelian group $[G, G]$. We want to show that $B_0(G)$ belongs to the kernel of the map r on K which coincides with $f^* H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Assume the contrary, that $\gamma \in K$, $\gamma \in B_0(G)$, and $r(\gamma) \neq 0$. If $\gamma \notin \text{Ker}(r)$ then, by assumption on the injectivity of σ , there is a cyclic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_m^{(i)} \subset A$ such that $(\sigma_i \circ r)(\gamma) \neq 0$.

This implies that the restriction of γ on $G' = f^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^{(i)}) \subset G$ is nontrivial. It means that the restriction of the cocycle $\gamma \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ to $H^2(G', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is nontrivial as well. Notice that G' contains a normal Abelian subgroup $[G, G]$ so that $G'/[G, G]$ is a cyclic group. By Lemma 2.9, the group $B_0(G')$ is trivial and hence the restriction of γ in $H^2(G', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ does not belong to $B_0(G') = 0$. Hence $\gamma \notin B_0(G)$ from the very beginning and we obtain a contradiction.

Therefore, if $\gamma \in B_0(G)$ then $i^*(\gamma) = 0$ for any cyclic subgroup in A . Hence, by our assumption on the A -module $\text{Hom}([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, we obtain that $B_0(G) \subset \text{Ker}(r)$ and therefore it belongs to $f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

The image $f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ in $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Indeed, since $A = G/[G, G]$ any element of $H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ which has a trivial image in $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ becomes trivial already in $H^2(G/[[G, G], G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Thus the image $f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ coincides with $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ by Lemma 2.8.

By definition, an element $f^*(a)$ belongs to $B_0(G)$ if for every Abelian subgroup $B \subset G$ with two generators the restriction $f^*(a)|_B = 0$. We have to check this property only for subgroups B which project onto subgroups $f(B)$ with two generators in A . If a', b' are two commuting elements in G generating a subgroup B then they define an element $f(a') \wedge f(b') \in S$ and the restriction of $\gamma \in f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ on B coincides with the value of γ on $f(a') \wedge f(b')$ where we identified $f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ with $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(B, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ with the cyclic group $\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 B, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Therefore,

$$B_0(G) \cong \text{Hom}(S/S_\Delta, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong f^*H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

The lemma follows. \square

From Lemma 5.6 in [Bo88] we also easily obtain

Corollary 2.11. — *Any p -group G with $B_0(G) \neq 0$ has order $\geq p^6$.*

3. Simple groups and $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$

The invariant $B_0(G) \subset H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ has an analogue, denoted by $H_{\text{nr}}^i(G)$, for group cohomology in any dimension. For more details we refer to the articles [CO],[C], [Bo88], [Bo93], [S95] (though, the definitions are different). In this notation, $B_0(G) = H_{\text{nr}}^2(G)$. According to the Bloch-Kato conjecture [BK] all the cohomology of Galois groups of algebraic closures of function fields are, roughly

speaking, induced from the Abelian quotients of these Galois groups. A more geometric version of these conjectures (for example, see [Bo88, Bo93]) is that, in fact, most of the finite birationally invariant classes in the cohomology of algebraic varieties are induced from the similar birational classes of special p -groups. Somehow it looks like finite simple groups do not produce nontrivial birational invariants of algebraic varieties which leads to the general hypothesis that all nonramified cohomology of a finite simple group are trivial (see [Bo88]).

Unfortunately, the computation of general nonramified cohomology groups is a highly nontrivial task. However, there is a description of all groups $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ for all finite simple groups G (see [Go], [Gr]). This fact, together with the rather well understood structure of Sylow subgroups of finite simple groups, leads to the following conjecture, which is a particular case of the general hypotheses stated above:

Conjecture 3.1 (Bogomolov [Bo93]). — If G is a finite simple group, then

$$(3.1) \quad H_{\text{nr}}^2(G) = B_0(G) = 0.$$

By now the complete list of finite simple groups G is well known. It is contained, for example, in [Go]. Apart from a finite number of sporadic groups all the other are of Lie type. They are parameterized by a finite number of infinite series depending on the rank of the corresponding Lie algebra and on the finite base field F_q .

Thus any of the simple groups of Lie type has a naturally attached prime number. The advantage of using a simple group G is that there is a uniquely defined universal covering group \tilde{G} . The group \tilde{G} is a universal central extension of G with the group $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ as a center, so that any central extension G' of G with $[G', G'] = G'$ is a quotient of \tilde{G} .

All the groups $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ are also listed (see [Go]). The general feature of the corresponding list is that most of the groups in $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ which appear for a given group G in the series are related to a central extension of the corresponding algebraic Lie groups. The relevant central extensions have a very simple description. It is easy to show that they define trivial elements in $B_0(LG)$ for a Lie group LG over complex numbers (see [Bo88], [Bo90]). Similarly, in each Lie series of finite groups, apart from a finite number of cases, we have a description of the corresponding extension in terms of Lie groups.

In this article we prove this result for finite simple groups of Lie type A_ℓ where the groups are $\text{PSL}(n, F_q)$. The group $\text{SL}(n, F_q)$ is a covering group for all $\text{PSL}(n, F_q)$

except for the following cases:

$$(3.2) \quad (n, q) = (2, 4), (2, 9), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 2).$$

Therefore, our strategy will be the following:

- First, we consider the general case when $\mathrm{SL}(n, F_q)$ is the universal cyclic extension of $\mathrm{PSL}(n, F_q)$ by the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{gcd}(n, q-1)}$ where the group $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{gcd}(n, q-1)}$ is represented by diagonal matrices. To prove the result in this case it is sufficient to represent the generator μ_p of any Sylow subgroup \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , where p is a prime, of diagonal $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{gcd}(n, q-1)}$ matrices as a commutator $ABA^{-1}B^{-1}$, where $A, B \in \mathrm{SL}(n, F_q)$.
- Second, we consider the above five exceptional pairs (3.2). Here we do not know the exact description of the corresponding central extension. We prove the theorem by establishing a stronger result that $B_0(\mathrm{Syl}_p(G)) = 0$ for all prime p dividing the order of $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

4. The group $\mathrm{SL}(n, F)$ as a central extension

Let F be a field and let μ be a primitive p^n -th root of unity in F .

Lemma 4.1. — *Assume that m is divisible by p^n where p is prime. The scalar matrix μI_m , which belongs to the center of $\mathrm{SL}(m, F)$, can be written in a commutator form $[A_1, B_1] = A_1 B_1 A_1^{-1} B_1^{-1}$, where $A_1, B_1 \in \mathrm{SL}(m, F)$.*

Proof. — We have the following possibilities:

1. Let p be an odd prime and A, B be two square matrices of order p^n over the field F such that $A = (a_{i,j}) = (\delta_{i,j} \mu^i)$, and $B = (b_{i,j})$ with
 - $b_{i,i+1} = b_{p^n,1} = 1$, and
 - $b_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.

Then A and B belong to $\mathrm{SL}(p^n, F)$, and $[A, B] = ABA^{-1}B^{-1} = \mu I_{p^n}$.

2. If $p = 2$ and $n = 1$, then the matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}$, where $a^2 + b^2 = -1$, and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfy the required condition. For a finite field F_q , with q odd, such a and b always exist. If $F = F_q$ and $q = 4k + 1$ then -1 is a square and we can take $a = i$, where $i^2 = -1$, and $b = 0$. If $q = 4k + 3$ then the set of all elements of the form $x^2 + y^2$ coincides with F_q . Indeed, it contains all quadratic residues x^2 , and it is invariant under the multiplication by an arbitrary element z^2 , where $z \in F_q$. Therefore, if it contains at least

one non-quadratic element, then it coincides with F_q . If not, then quadratic residues form an additive subgroup in F_q . However, F_q does not have an additive subgroup of index 2 for odd q . Therefore, F_q is the set of elements of the form $x^2 + y^2$. In particular, there are a and b such that $a^2 + b^2 = -1$, and they provide entries for A .

3. If $p = 2$ and $n > 1$, we select $A = \sigma_1 X$ and $B = Y \sigma_2$ where X and Y are diagonal matrices and σ_1, σ_2 are commuting permutation matrices. In this case we have an equality

$$ABA^{-1}B^{-1} = \sigma_1 XY \sigma_2 X^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} Y^{-1}$$

since σ_1 and σ_2 commute.

Thus the equation

$$(4.1) \quad ABA^{-1}B^{-1} = \mu I$$

is equivalent to

$$(4.2) \quad XY(\sigma_2 X^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1})(\sigma_1^{-1} Y^{-1} \sigma_1) = \mu I$$

where all the matrices $X, Y, (\sigma_2 X^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1})$, and $(\sigma_1^{-1} Y^{-1} \sigma_1)$ are diagonal. Assume that σ_1 has order $2^k, k \geq 1$ and σ_2 has order $2^{n-k}, n - k \geq 1$. Then the corresponding linear space has a special coordinate system $z_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq 2^k, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-k}$ with the property that σ_1 cyclically permutes coordinates $z_{i,j}$ with the same index i and σ_2 cyclically permutes coordinates $z_{i,j}$ with the same parameter j .

Therefore, if we denote by $x_{i,j}$ and $y_{i,j}$ diagonal elements of the matrices X and Y above, then the equation (4.2) becomes equivalent to a series of equations

$$(4.3) \quad x_{i,j} y_{i,j} x_{i+1(\bmod 2^k),j}^{-1} y_{i,j+1(\bmod 2^{n-k})}^{-1} = \mu$$

for the diagonal elements. If we denote by

$$- u_{i,j} := x_{i,j} x_{i+1(\bmod 2^k),j}^{-1}, \text{ and}$$

$$- v_{i,j} := y_{i,j} y_{i,j+1(\bmod 2^{n-k})}^{-1},$$

then

$$\prod_i u_{i,j} = \prod_j v_{i,j} = 1.$$

The equation (4.3) above becomes $u_{i,j} = \mu v_{i,j}^{-1}$ and hence we have obtained equations only for the parameters $u_{i,j}$.

Thus our initial matrix equation (4.1) was reduced to equations for $u_{i,j}$:

$$\prod_i u_{i,j} = 1, \quad \prod_j u_{i,j} = \mu^{2^{n-k}}.$$

These parameters $u_{i,j}$ define the complementary set of parameters $v_{i,j}$. Notice that for any $u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}$ satisfying $\prod u_{i,j} = \prod v_{i,j} = 1$ we can find $x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}$ so that

$$u_{i,j} = x_{i,j}x_{i+1(\bmod 2^k),j}^{-1}, \quad v_{i,j} = y_{i,j}y_{i,j+1(\bmod 2^{n-k})}^{-1},$$

and hence we can obtain solutions of the equation (4.1).

Therefore, there are many matrix pairs A, B that satisfy (4.1). For example, we can decompose 2^n coordinates into two groups of order 2^{n-1} and take the diagonal matrix X to have $[1, 1, \dots, i], [1, 1, \dots, -i]$ on the main diagonal, where brackets show the boundaries of each block. The element i , with $i^2 = -1$, is contained in F_q since, by our assumption, 4 divides $q-1$. Similarly, take Y to have $[1, \mu, \dots, \mu^{2^{n-1}-1}], [\mu, \mu^2, \dots, \mu^{2^{n-1}}]$ on the main diagonal. The permutation σ_2 has order 2 and interchanges these two blocks of variables, and σ_1 permutes variables cyclically within each block. Recall that $\mu^{2^{n-1}} = -1$. Then $X(\sigma_2 X^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1}) = [-1, 1, \dots, 1] [-1, 1, \dots, 1]$ and $Y(\sigma_1^{-1} Y^{-1} \sigma_1) = [-\mu, \mu, \dots, \mu] [-\mu, \mu, \dots, \mu]$ and hence

$$XY(\sigma_2 X^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1})(\sigma_1^{-1} Y^{-1} \sigma_1) = \mu I.$$

If we take $A = \sigma_1 X, B = Y \sigma_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_{2^n}(F)$, then $[A, B] = ABA^{-1}B^{-1} = \mu I_{2^n}$.

4. If m is divisible by p^n , then matrices A_1 and B_1 , consisting of m/p^n diagonal blocks of matrices A and B respectively, also satisfy the relation $[A_1, B_1] = A_1 B_1 A_1^{-1} B_1^{-1} = \mu I_m$.

The lemma follows. \square

Corollary 4.2. — *Any element of the group $H^2(\mathrm{PSL}(n, F), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ that defines a central extension of $\mathrm{PSL}(n, F)$ which is isomorphic to the quotient $\mathrm{SL}(n, F)/\mathbb{Z}_h$, where \mathbb{Z}_h is a central subgroup, does not belong to $B_0(\mathrm{PSL}(n, F))$ (see Corollary 2.4). In particular, $B_0(\mathrm{PSL}(n, F)) = 0$ unless the pair (n, q) is one of the five exceptional cases in (3.2).*

This finishes the proof of our theorem in the general case.

5. Special simple groups of Lie type A_ℓ

Consider the remaining cases. These are the following groups:

1. $G_1 = \text{PSL}(2, F_4), H^2(G_1, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$;
2. $G_2 = \text{PSL}(2, F_9), H^2(G_2, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$;
3. $G_3 = \text{PSL}(3, F_2), H^2(G_3, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$;
4. $G_4 = \text{PSL}(3, F_4), H^2(G_4, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$;
5. $G_5 = \text{PSL}(4, F_2), H^2(G_5, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Lemma 5.1. — *In the cases (1) – (3) above the group $B_0(G) = 0$.*

Proof. — In the cases (1) – (3) the relevant Sylow p -groups have order $< p^6$, and therefore, by Corollary 2.11, $B_0(G) = 0$. \square

Lemma 5.2. — *The group $B_0(G_4) = 0$.*

Proof. — Consider first the element of order 3 in $H^2(G_4, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. The corresponding central extension of $G_4 = \text{PSL}(3, F_4)$ is the group $\text{SL}(3, F_4)$, and hence, by Lemma 4.1, the element of order 3 does not belong to $B_0(G_4)$. The similar result for the elements of order 2 in $H^2(G_4, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ follows from the following more general result. \square

Lemma 5.3. — *The group $B_0(\text{Syl}_2(G_4)) = 0$.*

Proof. — The group $\text{Syl}_2(G_4)$ is a central extension of the Abelian group $F_4^2 = \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ by $F_4 = \mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Thus we again can use the general formula $B_0(\text{Syl}_2(G_4)) = (S/S_\Lambda)^*$. We have two generators $\langle x, y \rangle$ over F_4 , which is linearly generated over \mathbb{Z}_2 by $\langle 1, s \rangle$ with $s^2 + s + 1 = 0$. The commutator map $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rightarrow F_4$ coincides with the map between the second exterior power of \mathbb{Z}_2^4 , considered as \mathbb{Z}_2 -space, and the second exterior power of the same space, considered as F_4 -space. Thus S_Λ is generated by elements $[u, su]$ where $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4 = F_4^2$. The space S has dimension 4 over \mathbb{Z}_2 and a basis $\langle x, y, sx, sy \rangle$. To finish the proof we will show that the elements

$$[x, sx], [y, sy], [x + y, s(x + y)], [x + sy, s(x + sy)]$$

are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Indeed, this set is linearly equivalent to

$$[x, sx], [y, sy], ([x, sy] + [y, sx]), ([x, y] + [x, sy] + [sy, sx]),$$

and the last one is linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Therefore, $S_\Lambda = S$ and the group $B_0(\text{Syl}_2(G_4)) = 0$, which implies that $B_0(G_4) = 0$. \square

Theorem 5.4. — *The group $B_0(\mathrm{Syl}_2(G_5)) = 0$.*

Proof. — The group $\mathrm{Syl}_2(G_5)$ is a meta-Abelian 2-group. We are going to use Lemma 2.10 in order to compute the group $B_0(\mathrm{Syl}_2(G_5))$.

The group $\mathrm{Syl}_2(G_5)$ is the group of upper-triangular \mathbb{Z}_2 -matrices. It is generated by the elements $\langle a_{i,i+1} \rangle$, with $i = 1, 2, 3$. Here $(a_{i,j})$ denotes the matrix having 1's on the main diagonal and 0's everywhere else apart from the (i, j) 'th entry, which is equal to 1. Denote the group $\mathrm{Syl}_2(G_5)$ by G to simplify the notations. The group $[G, G] = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ is generated by the elements $\langle a_{1,3}, a_{1,4}, a_{2,4} \rangle$.

Lemma 5.5. — *The conditions of Lemma 2.10 are satisfied for the group $G = \mathrm{Syl}_2(G_5)$.*

Proof. — The module $\mathrm{Hom}([G, G], \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$. For simplicity, we will denote it by W . Denote the generators of the quotient $A = G/[G, G]$ by $a_{i,i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and the generators of W by $a_{1,3}^*, a_{1,4}^*, a_{2,4}^*$, respectively.

The group A acts on W . The action of A is trivial on $V = \langle a_{1,3}^*, a_{1,4}^* \rangle \subset W$, and on the quotient $W/V = C$. We have an exact sequence

$$H^0(A, C) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(A, V) \longrightarrow H^1(A, W) \longrightarrow H^1(A, C).$$

Since the action of A is trivial on V and C , any element in $H^1(A, V)$ and $H^1(A, C)$ restricts nontrivially on some cyclic subgroup of A .

Now we have to prove the same for $H^1(A, V)/\delta H^0(A, C)$. The cohomology group $H^0(A, C) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and we denote the only nontrivial element in this group by w . Denote the corresponding nontrivial element of $H^1(A, V)$ by δw . We have that $A = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$, $V = \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and $H^1(A, V) = A^* \otimes V$. Thus we can select generators $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$ of A and $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ of V so that either

- $\delta w = x_1^* \otimes v_1$, or
- $\delta w = x_1^* \otimes v_1 + x_2^* \otimes v_2$.

Here $\langle x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^* \rangle$ is a basis for A^* , such that $x_i^*(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}$.

In order to finish the proof, we need the following lemma

Lemma 5.6. — *Let $y \in A^* \otimes V$ be a nonzero element such that $y \neq \delta w$. Then there is a projection*

$$(5.1) \quad p_y : A^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

such that the images of the elements δw and y under the induced projection $A^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes V$ are different, and the image of y is nonzero.

Proof. — Let $y = \sum b_{i,j} x_i^* \otimes v_j$.

- If $b_{i,j} \neq 0$ for $(i,j) \neq (1,1)$, then the projection of y on one of the groups $\mathbb{Z}_2 x_1^* \otimes V$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 x_2^* \otimes V$, or $\mathbb{Z}_2 x_3^* \otimes V$ is nonzero and different from δw . Otherwise, $y = \delta w$ which proves the result in the first case.
- If $b_{3,j} \neq 0$ for some j , then the restriction of y on $\mathbb{Z}_2 x_3^* \otimes V$ is nontrivial and nonequal to w .
- If either $b_{1,2}$ or $b_{2,1}$ is nonzero, then the restriction of y on either $\mathbb{Z}_2 x_1^* \otimes V$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 x_2^* \otimes V$ is nonzero and different from the corresponding restriction of δw .

Therefore, we can assume that either

- $y = x_1^* \otimes v_1$, or
- $y = x_2^* \otimes v_2$.

In both cases, the restriction of y on $\mathbb{Z}_2(x_1^* + x_2^*)$ will be nonzero and different from the restriction of δw . \square

Thus if we take the subgroup $p_y^* : \mathbb{Z}_2 \hookrightarrow A$, where p_y^* is dual to the map in (5.1), then the restriction of y on $p_y^* \mathbb{Z}_2$ is nonzero in $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2, W)$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.5. \square

Corollary 5.7. — *By Lemma 2.10, we obtain that $B_0(\text{Syl}_2(G_5))$ is contained in the image $f^* H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ where A is the maximal Abelian quotient of $\text{Syl}_2(G_5)$.*

Now, in order to finish the proof of the triviality of $B_0(G)$ we have to compute the groups $f^* H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and S in the above case. Denote the basis \mathbb{Z}_2 -characters of the group $\text{Syl}_2(G_5)$ by $\langle a_{i,i+1}^* \rangle$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Lemma 5.8. — *The group $f^* H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and it is generated by an element $a_{1,2}^* \wedge a_{3,4}^*$ which is nontrivial on the commutative group generated by $\langle a_{1,2}, a_{3,4} \rangle$.*

Proof. — The group $H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$. Let us show that both elements $a_{1,2}^* \wedge a_{2,3}^*$ and $a_{2,3}^* \wedge a_{3,4}^*$ belong to the kernel of f^* . Consider two projections of $\text{Syl}_2(G_5)$ onto a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, which is the upper-triangular group of 3×3 matrices. The first one is given by deleting the fourth column, and the second one by deleting the first row. This shows that the elements $a_{1,2}^* \wedge a_{2,3}^*$ and $a_{2,3}^* \wedge a_{3,4}^*$ are trivial already on the corresponding triangular groups, and hence they are trivial on $\text{Syl}_2(G_5)$. The group $\langle a_{1,2}, a_{3,4} \rangle$ is an Abelian $B = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ -subgroup of $\text{Syl}_2(G_5)$.

The element $f^*(a_{1,2}^* \wedge a_{3,4}^*)$ is nontrivial on B which shows that $a_{1,2}^* \wedge a_{3,4}^* \neq 0$, and it does not belong to $B_0(\text{Syl}_2(G_5))$. \square

Thus we proved in particular that $B_0(G_5) = 0$ which finishes the proof of Theorem 5.4. \square

Simultaneously we finished the proof of our main Theorem 1, stated in the introduction.

References

- [AM] Artin, M., and Mumford, D. *Some elementary examples of unirational varieties which are not rational*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **25** (1972) 75-95.
- [BK] Bloch, S., and Kato, K. *p-adic etale cohomology*. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. **63** (1986), 107–152.
- [Bo88] Bogomolov, F. A. *The Brauer group of quotient spaces of linear representations*. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 51 (1987), no. **3**, 485–516, 688; translation in Math. USSR-Izv. 30 (1988), no. **3**, 455–485.
- [Bo90] Bogomolov, F. A. *Brauer groups of the fields of invariants of algebraic groups*. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 180 (1989), no. **2**, 279–293; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 66 (1990), no. **1**, 285–299.
- [Bo93] Bogomolov, F. A. *Stable cohomology of groups and algebraic varieties*. (Russian) Math.Sbornik (1992), v. **183** (5) p. 1–27 (English translation Russian Acad Sci.Sb. Math. (1993) v. **76** (1) p. 1–21).
- [Bo95] Bogomolov, F. A. *On the structure of the Galois groups of the fields of rational functions*. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Volume **58.2** (1995) (S. Barbara conference 1992 “K-theory and quadratic forms”) p. 83–88.
- [CO] Colliot-Thelene, J.-L., and Ojanguren, M. *Varietes unirationnelles non rationnelles: au-dela de l'exemple d'Artin et Mumford*. (French) [Nonrational unirational varieties: beyond the example of Artin and Mumford] Invent. Math. 97 (1989), no. **1**, 141–158.
- [C] Colliot-Thelene, J.-L. *Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture* Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Volume **58.2** (1995) (Santa Barbara conference 1992 “K-theory and quadratic forms”) p. 1–64.
- [Go] Gorenstein, D. *Finite simple groups. An introduction to their classification*. University Series in Mathematics. Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, 1982.
- [Gr] Griess, R. L., Jr. *Schur multipliers of the known finite simple groups. II*. The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979), pp. 279–282, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **37**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1980).

- [Q] Quillen, D. *On the cohomology and K -theory of the general linear groups over a finite field*. Ann. of Math. (2), **96**, 552–586 (1971).
- [S84] Saltman, D. J. *Noether’s problem over an algebraically closed field*. Invent. Math. 77 (1984), no. 1, 71–84.
- [S90] Saltman, D. J. *Multiplicative field invariants and the Brauer group*. J. Algebra (2), v. **133** (1990), 533–544.
- [S95] Saltman, D. J. *Brauer groups of invariant fields, geometrically negligible classes, an equivariant Chow group, and unramified H^3* Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Volume **58.1** (1995) (Santa Barbara conference 1992 “ K -theory and quadratic forms”) p. 189–246.
- [VP] Vinberg, E. B., Popov, V. L. *Invariant theory*. (Russian) Algebraic geometry, **4** (Russian), 137–314, 315, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989.
- [Vo] Voskresenskii, V. E. *Algebraic groups and their birational invariants*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **179**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

November 8, 2004

FEDOR BOGOMOLOV, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, NYU., 251 Mercer str., New York, NY 10012, USA • *E-mail* : bogomolo@cims.nyu.edu

JORGE MACIEL, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, NYU., 251 Mercer str., New York, NY 10012, USA • *E-mail* : maciel@cims.nyu.edu

TIHOMIR PETROV, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, NYU., 251 Mercer str., New York, NY 10012, USA • *E-mail* : petrovt@cims.nyu.edu