

THE NUMBER OF IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS

Our next issue is to compute the number of irreducible characters. So far we only know that this number is lower than or equal to the order of G .

The irreducible

Indeed χ characters are orthonormal (hence l.i.) elements of $\mathbb{C}^G$, which is a vector space of dimension $|G|$.

[A basis of $\mathbb{C}^G$ consists of the functions $\{\delta_g\}_{g \in G}$, where, $\forall g \in G$, $\delta_g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, s \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s=g \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$]

We can say more. Consider the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^G$ consisting of all class functions:

$$\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}^G : f(x) = f(y^{-1}xy) \quad \forall x, y \in G\}.$$

Then, because all characters are invariant on conjugacy classes, the irreducible characters also form an independent system in $\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$.

What's the dimension of $\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$?

Lemma - $\dim \mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G) = k$, where k is the number of conjugacy classes of G .

proof - Let $C_1, \dots, C_k$ be the conjugacy classes of G .

For all $i = 1 \dots k$, define a function $e_i \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$ by:

$$e_i(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in C_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ are l.i. elements of $\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$, and they span $\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$.

$$\bullet \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i(g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \underbrace{e_i(C_j)}_{=\delta_{ij}} = 0 \quad \forall j=1 \dots k$$

$G = \bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j$

$$\Rightarrow a_j = 0 \quad \forall j=1 \dots k.$$

$$\bullet \text{ If } f \in R(G), \text{ and } x \in C_j, \text{ then we can write:}$$

$$f(x) = f(C_j) = \sum_{i=1}^k f(C_i) \underbrace{e_i(x)}_{\substack{=1 \text{ if } i=j \\ =0 \text{ otherwise}}} \quad [\forall j=1 \dots k]$$

$$\Rightarrow f = \sum_{i=1}^k f(C_i) e_i. \quad \square$$

Corollary - The number of irreducible characters is smaller than or equal to the number of conjugacy classes.

Proof - the formula $\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ \text{class}}} \overline{f(s)} g(s)$ also gives an inner product in $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

The irreducible characters are orthonormal, hence linearly independent. therefore

$$\# \text{ irred. characters} \leq \dim(R(G)) = \# \text{ conjugacy classes} \quad \square$$

Remarks - For abelian groups, we have proved that the number of irreducible characters is actually equal to the number of conjugacy classes.

This result generalizes to all groups.

To prove that the equality always holds we

need the following lemma:

Lemma For every irreducible representation (ρ, V) of G , and every class function $f \in \mathbb{C}(G)_{\text{class}}$

$$\sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \rho(x) = \frac{|G|}{\dim V} \langle \chi_\rho, f \rangle \mathbb{1}_V.$$

proof - Because each $\rho(x)$ is a ^{linear} map from V to V , also $T = \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \rho(x)$ is an endomorphism of V .

the claim is that $T = \alpha \mathbb{1}_V$, with $\alpha = \frac{|G|}{\dim V} \langle \chi_\rho, f \rangle$.

Because ρ is irreducible, in order to prove that T is a scalar multiple of the identity, we just need to prove that T is an intertwining operator from (ρ, V) to itself:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & V \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow T \\ V & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & V \end{array}, \forall g \in G$$

i.e. there's a commutative diagram

$$\bullet T \rho(g) = \left[\sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \rho(x) \right] \rho(g) = \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \rho(xg) =$$

$$= \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(g^{-1}xg)} \rho(xg) =$$

$$\xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(G)} = \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(g^{-1}xg)} \rho(gg^{-1}xg) =$$

$$= \rho(g) \left[\sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(\underbrace{g^{-1}xg}_u)} \rho(\underbrace{g^{-1}xg}_u) \right] = \rho(g) \left[\sum_{u \in G} \overline{f(u)} \rho(u) \right] = \rho(g) T$$

We obtain: $T = \alpha \mathbb{1}_V$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. [This is Schur's lemma].

Next, we evaluate α by taking the Trace of T .

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha (\dim V) &= \text{trace}(T) = \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \text{trace}(g(x)) = \\ &= \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \chi_g(x) = |G| \langle f, \chi_g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{|G|}{\dim V} \langle f, \chi_g \rangle.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

We are now ready to prove that the irreducible characters form a basis of $\mathbb{R}(G)^{\text{class}(G)}$. [This will of course imply that the # of irred. characters equals the # of conjugacy classes].

Theorem - The irreducible characters of G are an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}(G)^{\text{class}(G)}$.

proof - We just need to show that $\mathbb{R}(G)^{\text{class}(G)} = \text{Span}(\text{all distinct irred. characters})$. We proceed by contradiction.

Suppose that $\mathbb{R}(G)^{\text{class}(G)} \neq \text{Span}(\text{irred. characters})$. Then there exists an element $(f \neq 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}(G)^{\text{class}(G)}$ which is perpendicular to every irreducible

Let (ρ, V) be the regular representation of G (with basis $\{e_x\}_{x \in G}$). then $V = \bigoplus_{W_i \text{ irred.}} (W_i)^{\oplus \dim W_i}$ and $\chi_V = \sum_{W_i \text{ irred.}} (\dim W_i) \chi_{W_i}$.

Because f is orthogonal to each irreducible character χ_{W_i} , for all $x \in W_i$ we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_g \overline{f(g)} \rho(g)x &= \left[\sum_g \overline{f(g)} \rho_{W_i}(g) \right] x = \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{\dim W_i}{|G|} \right) \langle f, \chi_{W_i} \rangle \mathbb{1}_{W_i} \right] x = \\ &= \frac{|G|}{\dim W_i} \underbrace{\langle f, \chi_{W_i} \rangle}_{=0} x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

W_i is irreducible and $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$
So the lemma applies class

So the operator $\sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \rho(x) : V \rightarrow V$ is identically zero on V .

Applying this operator to the basis element e_1 ($1 \in G$ is the identity of G), we get:

$$0 = \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \rho(x) e_1 = \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} \underbrace{\rho(x) e_1}_{= e_x} = \sum_{x \in G} \overline{f(x)} e_x.$$

But the set $\{e_x\}$ forms a basis of V . Hence we need $\overline{f(x)} = 0 \quad \forall x \in G$.

We get a contradiction, because f was assumed to be non-zero. $\blacksquare$

Corollary - the number of conjugacy classes equals the number of irreducible characters of G .

THE REPRESENTATION RING

All The results known about characters can be repressed in terms of the representation ring of G ...

Let G be a finite group. The representation ring $R(G)$ is defined as follows:

- as a group, $R(G)$ is The free abelian group generated by all The isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of G (an element of $R(G)$ is an integral linear combination of irreducible representations of G , and is called "a virtual representation").
- The ring structure is given by the tensor product (defined on the generators of $R(G)$, and extended by linearity to $R(G)$). If V, W are irreducible representations, define $V \cdot W = a_1 U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_r U_r$.
suppose ^{that} ✓ The decomposition of $V \otimes W$ as direct sum of a_i irreducible summands is
 $V \otimes W = \bigoplus [U_i \oplus a_i]$

It's easy to see that $R(G)$ is a commutative ring with identity:

$$[V \otimes W] \otimes U \cong V \otimes [W \otimes U]$$

$$V \otimes (W \oplus U) \cong [V \otimes W] \oplus [V \otimes U]$$

$$V \otimes \text{triv} \cong V.$$

Consider The map

$$\chi : R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$$

defined on the generators by $\chi(V) = \chi_V$ and extended by linearity to $R(G)$.

χ is a ring homomorphism (the ring structure on $\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$ is given by the usual sum and product of complex valued functions).

Because every representation of G is determined by its character, χ is injective.

We can extend χ to a vector space homomorphism:

$$\chi_{\mathbb{C}} : R(G) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$$

$$V \otimes \alpha \longmapsto \alpha \chi_V.$$

the fact that the characters of irreducible representations of G form a basis of $\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$ implies that $\chi_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a (complex vector space) isomorphism.

A GENERAL PROJECTION FORMULA

Let (ρ, V) be a representation of G .

Let $V = W_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus W_r^{\oplus a_r}$ be the decomposition of V as a direct sum of isotypic components of irreducible representations of G . We know that the map

$$T_{\text{triv}} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g) : V \rightarrow V$$

is a projection on the isotypic component of the trivial representation.

We can generalize this result: for each irreducible representation W of G , the map

$$T_W = \frac{\dim W}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_W(g)} \rho(g) : V \rightarrow V$$

is a projection on the isotypic component of W in V .

Proof - Write $V = W_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus W_j^{\oplus a_j}$. For all x in the isotypic comp. of W_i , we can write:

$$(x) = \frac{\dim W}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_W(g)} \rho(g) x = \frac{\dim W}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_W(g)} \rho(g) \Big|_{W_i^{\oplus a_i}} x = \frac{\dim W}{|G|} \left[\sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_W(g)} \rho_{W_i}(g) \right] x$$

$\xrightarrow{f = \chi_W \in C_{\text{class}}(G)}$ and W_i is irreducible so the lemma applies

$$\frac{\dim W}{|G|} \left[\frac{|G|}{\dim W_i} \underbrace{\langle \chi_W, \chi_{W_i} \rangle}_f \mathbb{1}_{W_i} \right] x =$$

$$\frac{\dim W}{\dim W_i} \langle \chi_W, \chi_{W_i} \rangle x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } W \cong W_i \\ 0 & \text{if } W \not\cong W_i \end{cases}$$

$\begin{cases} x \neq 0 & W \cong W_i \\ x = 0 & W \not\cong W_i \end{cases}$ This shows that T_W is the projection on the isotypic of W in V . $\blacksquare$

ORTHOGONALITY FORMULAS

OF THE SECOND KIND

We already know that the characters of irreducible representations are orthonormal:

$$\langle \chi_v, \chi_w \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_v(g)} \chi_w(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v=w \\ 0 & \text{if } v \neq w \end{cases}$$

Let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_k$ be the conjugacy classes of G .

For all $j=1 \dots k$, set $c_j = \# \Gamma_j$.

Then the previous relation can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{\Gamma_i} c_i \overline{\chi_v(\Gamma_i)} \chi_w(\Gamma_i) = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } v=w \\ 0 & \text{if } v \neq w \end{cases}$$

These are called "orthogonality relations of the first kind". Notice that the ^{two} characters are fixed, and the sum is over all conjugacy classes.

We now prove the "orthogonality relations of the second kind":

$$\sum_{\chi_i} \overline{\chi_i(\Gamma_1)} \chi_i(\Gamma_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{|G|}{c(\Gamma_1)} & \text{if } \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2 \end{cases}$$

[This time the ^{two} conjugacy classes are fixed, and the sum is over all the characters ...]

proof - Fix a conjugacy class Γ , and let $c = \# \Gamma$. Define a class function by:

$$f_{\Gamma}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in \Gamma \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, $f_{\Gamma}(\Gamma_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Gamma_2 = \Gamma \\ 0 & \text{if } \Gamma_2 \neq \Gamma \end{cases}$

Because the characters of irreducible representations form a basis of $\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$, we can write

$$f_{\Gamma} = \sum_{j=1}^K a_j \chi_j$$

with $a_j = \langle \chi_j, f_{\Gamma} \rangle$ [Indeed, $\langle \chi_j, f_{\Gamma} \rangle = \langle \chi_j, \sum_{e=1}^K a_e \chi_e \rangle \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \hookrightarrow \text{linear on the} \\ \text{second component}}}}{=} \sum_{e=1}^K a_e \underbrace{\langle \chi_j, \chi_e \rangle}_{\delta_{je}} = a_j$]

Let us compute a_j more explicitly:

$$a_j = \langle \chi_j, f_{\Gamma} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_j(g)} f_{\Gamma}(g) =$$

$$\underset{\uparrow}{=} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \overline{\chi_j(g)} = \frac{c}{|G|} \overline{\chi_j(\Gamma)}.$$

$f_{\Gamma}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in \Gamma \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

So we get: $f_{\Gamma} = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{c}{|G|} \overline{\chi_j(\Gamma)} \chi_j = \frac{c}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^K \overline{\chi_j(\Gamma)} \chi_j$

Because $f_{\Gamma}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in \Gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, we obtain:

$$\frac{c}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\chi_j(\Gamma)} \chi_j(\Gamma') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Gamma = \Gamma' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\chi_j(\Gamma)} \chi_j(\Gamma') = \begin{cases} |G|/c & \text{if } \Gamma = \Gamma' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

CHARACTERS OF THE DIHEDRAL GROUP

* D_{2n} , n odd *

$\{1\}$

We know that D_{2n} has conjugacy classes: $\{a, a^{n-1}\}$
 $\{a^2, a^{n-2}\}$

$\vdots$
 $\{a^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, a^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\}$

$\{b^j : j=0 \dots n-1\}$

The number of conjugacy classes equals $\frac{n-1}{2} + 2 = \frac{n+3}{2}$.
 We must create an equal number of irreducible characters.

First, we look for linear characters.

Suppose that ρ is a representation of $D_{2n} = \langle a, b : a^n = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$, of dimension 1. Then $\rho(a)$ is an n^{th} root of unity, and $\rho(b) = \pm 1$.

We notice that a is conjugate to a^{-1} , so $\chi_\rho(a) = \chi_\rho(a^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_\rho(a)} \Rightarrow \chi_\rho(a)$ is real.

But $\chi_\rho(a) = \rho(a)$ (because ρ is 1-dimensional).

The only n^{th} root of unity that is real is 1 (here n is odd). So $\rho(a)$ must be 1.

It follows that there are only two linear characters.

They are:

	a	b	...
χ_1	1	+1	...
χ_2	1	-1	...

We need other $\frac{n-1}{2}$ irreducible characters.

Because D_{2n} is non-abelian, at least one of these characters has degree greater than 1.

Let's look for characters of degree 2.

A 2-dimensional representation of D_{2n} is given by a group homomorphism

$$\rho : G \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})$$
$$a^i b^j \mapsto A^i B^j$$

where $A, B \in GL(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy : $A^n = I = B^2$; $BAB = A^{-1}$.

We can choose : $A = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ where ω is any n^{th} root of unity

and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

(indeed $B^{-1}AB = BAB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega & \omega \\ \bar{\omega} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\omega} & 0 \\ \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega^{-1} & 0 \\ \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A^{-1}$)

For each choice of ω (ω is n^{th} root of unity), we obtain a 2-dimensional representation of G , that we denote by ρ_ω .

When is ρ_ω irreducible?

If ρ_ω splits in the direct sum of two one-dimensional subrepresentations : $\rho_\omega = U_\omega^1 \oplus U_\omega^2$, then U_ω^1 and U_ω^2 are simultaneous eigenspaces of A and B .

The only eigenspaces of B are $\text{Span}\{1, 1\}$ and $\text{Span}\{1, -1\}$, and they are stable under the action of A only if $\omega = \omega^{-1}$ ($\Leftrightarrow \omega = \bar{\omega}$ ($\Rightarrow \omega$ is real)). Because n is odd, this condition forces $\omega = 1$.

We have proved that the representation $\rho_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}k}}$ is irreducible for all $k=1,2,\dots,n-1$.

OK! We get $(n-1)$ irreducible characters, but only some of them are going to be distinct. [~~The~~ Recall that there are only $\frac{n-1}{2}$ ^{irred.} characters yet to find !!!].

The next question to solve is therefore:

"When is $\rho_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}k_1}}$ equivalent to $\rho_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}k_2}}$??

Suppose that there exists an intertwining operator T from $\rho_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}k_1}}$ to $\rho_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}k_2}}$.

Then, in particular, the matrices $\rho_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}k_1/n}}(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\omega}_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\rho_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}k_2/n}}(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\omega}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ are ω_1 $\bar{\omega}_1$ ω_2 $\bar{\omega}_2$ are

conjugate, and have the same eigenvalues.

We obtain that ω_2 must be either equal to ω_1 or equal to $\bar{\omega}_1$. (+ necessary condition for equivalence)

$\Rightarrow \rho_{\omega_1}$ can only be equivalent to $\rho_{\bar{\omega}_1}$ (and to itself)

The next step is to show that ρ_{ω_1} and $\rho_{\bar{\omega}_1}$ are indeed ~~conjugate~~ equivalent; but this is very easy because $T: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x$ intertwines the two representations.

We deduce that $\forall j=1,\dots,n-1$, ρ_{ω_j} is only conjugate

We obtain $\frac{n-1}{2}$ irreducible representations of degree 2.

In addition to the two linear characters, these form a complete set of (inequivalent) irreducible representations of D_{2n} . Indeed $2 + \frac{n-1}{2} = \#$ conjugacy classes in D_{2n} .

* n even *

Assume $n = 2m$. Let's describe the irreducible characters of $D_{2n} = D_{4m}$.

As usual, we first look for linear characters.

If $\text{degree}(g) = 1$, then $\chi_g(a) = g(a)$ must be a real ^{mth} root of unity; but n is even, so $g(a)$ is allowed to be ± 1 .

We obtain 4 linear characters:

	a	b	...
χ_1	+1	+1	...
χ_2	+1	-1	...
χ_3	-1	+1	...
χ_4	-1	-1	...

Recall that the conjugacy classes of $D_{2n} = D_{4m}$ are

$\{1\}$

$\{a, a^{m-1}\}$

$\vdots$

$\{a^{m-1}, a^{m+1}\}$

$\{a^m\}$

$\{a^{2j}b : j = 0 \dots m\}$

$\{a^{2j+1}b : j = 0 \dots m-1\}$

► There are $m+3 = \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) + 4$ distinct conjugacy classes!

Just like before, we can look at the $2m=n$ dimensional representations $\{\rho_{w_j} \}_{j=0 \dots n-1}$, where $w_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{n}} = e^{\frac{\pi i j}{m}}$.

We notice that if $j=0$ or m , then $\rho(a)=A=\pm I$, so $\rho(a)$ stabilizes the eigenspaces of $\rho(b)$ and ρ is reducible.

For $j \neq 0, m$ ($j=0 \dots 2m-1$) then ρ is irreducible.

[$\uparrow$ same proof as before, because $w_j \neq \bar{w}_j$ if $w_j \neq \pm 1$].

So we obtain $n-2$ irreducible representations. The same proof used before shows that ρ_{w_j} is equivalent to $\rho_{w_{n-j}}$ $\forall j=0 \dots n-1, j \neq 0, m$. Therefore we get

$\frac{n-2}{2} = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ inequivalent² irreducible representations.

Because we have reached the number of conjugacy classes, there cannot be any other representation of D_{2n} .